

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action mailed September 23, 2005, the Office Action Summary erroneously indicates that claims 151-195 are pending. Claims 156-185 were canceled in the Amendment filed November 5, 2004. Therefore, claims 151-155 and 186-195 are pending in this application.

Claims 151 and 191 stand rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claim 25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,112,181. Claims 152-155 and 186-190 depend from independent claim 151. Claims 192-195 depend from independent claim 191.


Without acquiescing to the correctness of this rejection, and in the interest of expediting prosecution, Applicants submit herewith a terminal disclaimer under 37 CFR 1.321(c). By virtue of this disclaimer, Applicants respectfully submit that this double-patenting rejection has been overcome. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of claims 151-155 and 186-195 and the timely allowance of this application.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: November 3, 2005

By: 
Jeffrey Danley
Reg. No. 57,228

Attachments: 1. Terminal Disclaimer under 37 CFR § 1.321(c)